

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LANDMARK INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, PLLC P.O. BOX 1266 MIDLOTHIAN, VA 23113

MAILED

SEP 16 2010

In re Application of

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Graves et al.

Application No. 10/655,828

DECISION ON PETITION

Filed: September 5, 2003

: UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3)

Attorney Docket No. 62941.002015

: AND 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6)

This is a decision on the petitions under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6), filed July 8, 2010, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 119(e) for the benefit of priority to the prior-filed applications.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and 1.78(a)(5)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) must be accompanied by:

- the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 119(e) 37 CFR §§1.78(a)(2)(i) and 1.78(a)(5)(i) of the prior-filed application(s), unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in $\S 1.17(t)$; and
- a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The instant petition does not comply with item (1) above.

The amendment submitted concurrently with the instant petition as drafted is unacceptable and, therefore, is not considered a proper reference under 36 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) and 1.78(a)(5)(i). In this regard, the amendment is physically part of the instant petition and, as such, does not comply with 37 CFR 1.121, 1.52, or 1.4(c). Note that 37 CFR 1.121 states that amendments are made by filing a paper, in compliance with 1.52, directing that specified amendments be made. The pertinent section of 37 CFR 1.52 states that the claim (in this case, the claim for priority) must commence on a separate physical sheet. 37 CF 1.4(c) states that each distinct subject, inquiry or order must be contained in a separate paper since different matters may be considered by different branches of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

In view of the above, the amendment to add a priority claim to the first line of the specification, which is physically embedded in the instant petition, is not acceptable.

Accordingly, a substitute amendment, in compliance with the aforementioned rules, along with a renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), must be submitted.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop PETITIONS Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand:

Customer Window located at: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Customer Service Window Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

By fax:

(571) 273-8300

ATTN: Office of Petitions

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to Alicia Kelley at (571) 272-6059.

/Liana Walsh/ Liana Walsh Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions